

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/537,298	06/02/2005	Tomohisa Tenra	043890-0746	8367	
	7590 05/04/2007 Γ WILL & EMERY LLP		EXAMINER		
600 13TH STR	EET, N.W.		THOMAS, ALEXANDER S		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		_	1772		
				,	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/04/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			•
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/537,298	TENRA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	· Art Unit	
	Alexander Thomas	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rejud will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT  ate. cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  bly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10.	April 2007.		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits i	is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-26</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	ın		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-7,15-17 and 20-2		ideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) ☐ Claim(s) 2,8-14,18 and 19 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner		•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		v the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		plication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri			
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Inf	ormal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/2/05</u> .	6)	·	

Application/Control Number: 10/537,298

Art Unit: 1772

Page 2

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I and species A in the reply filed on 4/10/07 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Claim 26/2 is drawn the non-elected species B.

## Claim Objections

2. Claims 2, 8, and 12 are objected to because of the following informalities: there is no antecedent basis for the term "the heat seal parts" in claim 12 and claim 8 is grammatically confusing. The phrase "heating the pressing the portion" is also grammatically confusing. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 10 is directed to a product that does not have hole in the enveloping member; however, claim 10 depends upon claim 8 which defines the product as having holes. Therefore an ambiguity exists in claim 10.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,298

Art Unit: 1772

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 2, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Japanese patent document 08-303686. The reference discloses a vacuum insulator comprising an outer envelope covering a core layer seal around the edges of the core layer; see the Abstract. The terms "heating", "pressing" and "by melting down" are process limitations that do not structurally distinguish the claimed product over the product of the reference.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Japanese patent document 08-303686. The reference discloses a vacuum insulator comprising an outer envelope covering a core layer and sealed around the edges of the core layer; see the Abstract. However, the reference does not disclose the instantly claimed size of the product. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

Art Unit: 1772

to form the product of the reference to a size within the claimed range depending on the particular end use, since a change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. The terms "heating" and "pressing" are process limitations that do not structurally distinguish the claimed product over the product of the reference.

8. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Japanese patent document 08-303686 in view of Grogan 6,325,281. The primary reference discloses a vacuum insulator comprising an outer envelope covering a core layer and sealed around the edges of the core layer; see the Abstract. However, it does not disclose adhering the envelope to the core. The secondary reference discloses a vacuum insulation panel wherein the envelope may be either adhered to the core or not adhered to the core; see column 1, lines 17-30. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adhere the core to the envelope in the article of the primary reference in order to provide additional structural integrity if one could tolerate the disadvantage of such a structure as set forth in the secondary reference.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,298

Art Unit: 1772

298 Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

alefandy Mena